

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 461 235

EC 308 785

AUTHOR Ahearn, Eileen M.
TITLE State Special Education Advisory Panel Budgets. Quick Turn Around (QTA).
INSTITUTION National Association of State Directors of Special Education, Alexandria, VA.
SPONS AGENCY Special Education Programs (ED/OSERS), Washington, DC.
PUB DATE 2001-11-00
NOTE 3p.; Produced by Project FORUM at NADSE.
CONTRACT H326F000001
AVAILABLE FROM National Association of State Directors of Special Education, 1800 Diagonal Rd., Suite 320, Alexandria, VA 22314. Tel: 703-519-3800; Tel: 703-519-7008 (TDD); Fax: 703-519-3808; e-mail: carla@nasdse.org. For full text: <http://www.nasdse.org/forum.htm>.
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Administration; *Advisory Committees; Budgeting; *Budgets; *Disabilities; Educational Finance; Elementary Secondary Education; *Policy Formation; Resource Allocation; *Special Education; *State Programs; Surveys
IDENTIFIERS Individuals with Disabilities Education Act Part B; *Related Services

ABSTRACT

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires that each state education agency (SEA) establish and maintain an advisory panel for the purpose of providing policy guidance with respect to special education and related services for children with disabilities. This document is a brief summary of survey information received from 48 states and 2 non-state jurisdictions regarding their budgets to support the activities of state special education advisory panels (SEAPs). Of the 50 respondents, a total of 32 states and 1 non-state jurisdiction reported that they do not have a line item budget for their SEAP. In all cases, these states commented that they provide funds to reimburse the expenses incurred by SEAP members for costs they incur related to the SEAP. In most cases, the reimbursement is made from IDEA Part B funds, although 10 states mentioned SEA funds as the source of the payments. Sixteen states and one non-state jurisdiction maintain a budget for their SEAPs. The total amounts ranged from \$5,000 to \$150,000. Most states use Part B funds for their budgets, although a few states mentioned that they use state funds or a combination of state and federal funds. (CR)

Quick Turn Around

Project
FORUM



rum

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)
☒ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

QTA – A brief analysis of a critical issue in special education

State Special Education Advisory Panel Budgets

November 2001

Eileen M. Ahearn, Ph.D.

Purpose and Method

This activity was completed as part of the Cooperative Agreement between Project FORUM at the National Association of State Directors of Special Education (NASDSE) and the U. S. Department of Education Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP). In response to an inquiry from its membership, NASDSE surveyed states about their budgets to support the activities of state special education advisory panels (SEAPs). The NASDSE survey questions were as follows:

1. Does your state special education advisory panel have an annual budget?
If yes:
2. What is the total amount of that budget?
3. What items are included in the budget?
4. What source of funding is used for that budget?

The survey was expanded for this QTA because it complements a recent Project FORUM analysis of SEAPs.¹ NASDSE first collected data from 33 states, and Project FORUM subsequently contacted the non-responding states to complete the data set. This document is a brief summary of survey information received from a

final total of 48 states and two non-state jurisdictions. Most states added at least a brief explanation of their responses to the survey items.

Background

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires that each state education agency (SEA) establish and maintain "an advisory panel for the purpose of providing policy guidance with respect to special education and related services for children with disabilities in the State" [CFR §300.150]. Membership of the SEAP is also prescribed by regulation [CFR §300.651].

The specific functions assigned under IDEA regulations [CFR §300.652] to the SEAP are:

- Advise the SEA of unmet needs within the State in the education of children with disabilities;
- Comment publicly on any rules or regulations proposed by the State regarding the education of children with disabilities;
- Advise the SEA in developing evaluations and reporting on data to the Secretary under section 618 of the Act;
- Advise the SEA in developing corrective action plans to address findings identified in Federal monitoring reports under Part B of the Act;
- Advise the SEA in developing and implementing policies relating to the coordination of services for children with disabilities; and,
- Advise on eligible students with disabilities in adult prisons.

¹ For more detailed information on the operations of state advisory panels, see *State Special Education Advisory Panels: Changes Since the Passage of the 1997 Amendments to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*, written by Diane Miller for Project FORUM in April 2001 and available online at: http://www.nasdse.org/forum_activities_and_documents_.htm.

IDEA regulations further provide that the advisory panel shall meet as often as necessary to conduct its business, and that "the advisory panel shall serve without compensation but the State must reimburse the panel for reasonable and necessary expenses for attending meetings and performing duties." [CFR §300.653]

Survey Results

Of the 50 respondents, a total of 32 states and one non-state jurisdiction reported that they do not have a line item budget for their SEAP. In all cases, these states commented that they provide funds to reimburse the expenses incurred by SEAP members for the costs they incur related to the SEAP. In most cases, the reimbursement is made from IDEA Part B funds, although ten states mentioned SEA funds as the source of the payments.

Sixteen states and one non-state jurisdiction maintain a budget for their SEAPs. The total amounts ranged from \$5,000 to \$150,000. Most states use Part B funds for their budgets, although a few states mentioned that they use state funds or a combination of state and federal funds.

The items included in these budgets varied considerably. In some states, the salaries of SEA staff who provide administrative support to the SEAP were included in the budget. Other states mentioned staff support as a separate cost that was not reflected in their SEAP budget. Aside

from the SEA staff costs associated with SEAP activities, states include some or all of the following line items in their SEAP budgets:

- Travel, meals and lodging in connection with meetings and/or retreats, sometimes provided as a set stipend per meeting;
- Child care costs;
- Reimbursement for loss of wages;
- Printing and postage costs, supplies, auditory equipment, guest speakers, and other logistical support services for meetings; and,
- Support for members to attend conferences or other training activities.

Summary Comments

As with many other aspects of special education, the issue of supporting SEAP activities varies greatly among states. The lack of a separate line item budget for the SEAP does not mean that a state has no expenditures for this activity, nor that it spends less than states that have developed a specific budget. For example, one state director who reported *not* having a SEAP budget commented the state spends approximately \$80,000 annually for SEAP activities, an amount in the middle of the range of all reported budget totals. The survey conducted for this document confirms that all states provide some level of financial support for their SEAP. However, financing is handled so differently by states that it is not appropriate to generalize about the costs they incur or the type of expenses they cover.

This report was supported by the U.S. Department of Education (Cooperative Agreement No. H326F000001). However, the opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the position of the U.S. Department of Education, and no official endorsement by the Department should be inferred.

Note: There are no copyright restrictions on this document; however, please credit the source and support of federal funds when copying all or part of this material.



This document, along with many other FORUM publications, can be downloaded from the Project FORUM at NASDSE web address:

<http://www.nasdse.org/forum.htm>

To order a hard copy of this document or any other FORUM publications, please contact Carla Burgman at
NASDSE, 1800 Diagonal Road, Suite 320, Alexandria, VA 22314
Ph: 703-519-3800 ext. 312 or Email: carla@nasdse.org



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



NOTICE

Reproduction Basis



This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket)" form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.



This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").